

CAMBERWELL UNION

**BURGESS BUSINESS PARK
SE5**

FINANCIAL VIABILITY ASSESSMENT



GERALDEVE

Camberwell Union, Burgess Business Park, London

On behalf of Peachtree Services Limited

A Financial Viability Assessment in support of the Planning
Application – Summary

December 2017

Prepared by: Andrew Crow MRICS

James Hanford MRICS

William Powles MRICS

© copyright reserved 2017 Gerald Eve LLP

72 Welbeck Street London W1G 0AY
Tel. 020 7493 3338
www.geraldeve.com



NOTE: The contents of this report are confidential to Peachtree Services Limited and it together with any further information supplied shall not be copied, reproduced or distributed to any third parties without the prior consent of Gerald Eve LLP. Furthermore the information is being supplied to London Borough of Southwark ("the Council") on the express understanding that it shall be used only to assist in the financial assessment in relation to Camberwell Union. The information contained within this report is believed to be correct as at December 2017 but Gerald Eve LLP give notice that:

- (i) all statements contained within this report are made without acceptance of any liability in negligence or otherwise by Gerald Eve LLP. The information contained in this report has not been independently verified by Gerald Eve LLP;
- (ii) none of the statements contained within this report are to be relied upon as statements or representations of fact or warranty whatsoever without referring to Gerald Eve LLP in the first instance and taking appropriate legal advice;
- (iii) references to national and local government legislation and regulations should be verified with Gerald Eve LLP and legal opinion sought as appropriate;
- (iv) Gerald Eve LLP do not accept any liability, nor should any of the statements or representations be relied upon, in respect of intending lenders or otherwise providing or raising finance to which this report as a whole or in part may be referred to;
- (v) Any estimates of values or similar, other than specifically referred to otherwise, are subject to and for the purposes of discussion and are therefore only draft and excluded from the provisions of the RICS Valuation – Global Standards 2017; and
- (vi) if this report is subsequently to be provided to the Council in full, it should be on a confidential basis. We therefore request that the report should not be disclosed to any third parties (other than consultants instructed by the LBB to review this report) under the Freedom of Information Act (Sections 41 and 43 (2)) or under the Environmental Information Regulation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Gerald Eve LLP was instructed by Peachtree Services Limited (“the Applicant”) to undertake a financial viability assessment (“FVA”), to support the development proposals at Camberwell Union, Burgess Business Park, London (“the Site”). A planning application has been submitted for the redevelopment of the Site to provide a total of 505 residential units comprising a mix of flats, maisonettes and terraced houses and approximately 4,062 sq m of commercial floorspace comprising creative business, co-working and retail uses (“the Scheme”).
2. The purpose of the FVA is to support the planning application to the Council by providing a financial assessment, rationale and justification for the proposed amount of affordable housing. The assessment also has regard to the affordable housing provision and other planning obligations including Community Infrastructure Levy (“CIL”), which can be collectively referred to as “the pot”.
3. By assessing the viability of the Scheme this, in turn, defines the level of the pot. We have tested a total “pot” of £5,367,207 plus 167 affordable housing units on-site comprising the following:

Planning Obligations	Amount
Affordable Housing	35% by Habitable Room
Borough CIL	£2,127,381
Mayoral CIL	£1,453,876
S.106 package	£1,785,950
Total	£5,367,207 plus 35% on-site affordable housing

4. Our report has been prepared having regard to the National Planning Policy Framework (“NPPF”); Planning Practice Guidance (“PPG”); the Greater London Authority Housing SPG March 2016 (“the Housing SPG”) and Affordable Housing and Viability SPG August 2017 (“the AH&V SPG”); the London Borough of Southwark (“the Council”) Core Strategy April 2011; the London Plan March 2016; the RICS Guidance Note: Financial Viability in Planning 2012 (“the RICS GN”); Southwark Development Viability Supplementary Planning Document (2016) (“the LBS VSPD”) and generally accepted principles of undertaking (site specific) FVAs.
5. This report has relied upon inputs from a number of other consultants (including the Applicant itself) in respect of costs, values and development programme. A summary inputs table accompanies this Executive Summary.
6. A detailed Site Value assessment has been undertaken in accordance with national and local planning policy and viability best practice guidance. We have presented both an EUV and Market Value in order to determine the premium that should be applied above EUV to release the Site for development. Our Site Value therefore fully meets the requirements of the Council’s Development Viability SPD.
7. We have measured the Scheme’s viability against a benchmark IRR of 14.0% on a present day basis. After engagement with the Council’s viability consultant it was agreed that target margins (all on GDV) of 17.5% for private residential, 16.67% on commercial, and 6.0% on affordable were reasonable.
8. The outturns of the appraisals in respect of the Scheme are summarised in the table below:

Scheme	Output	Target
Present Day	7.7% IRR	14.0% IRR
Present Day	2.2% on GDV	15.7% (Blend)

9. It can be concluded that on a present day assessment the resulting appraisals demonstrate a return below the target level.

10. To substantiate our assessment, a sensitivity analysis has been carried out which shows that even on a wholly optimistic basis, the Scheme is unlikely to achieve a viable return.
11. It follows that the proposed planning obligations and CIL package of £5,367,207, along with 35% on-site affordable housing (70:30), exceeds the maximum reasonable level that the Scheme can afford in order for the Applicant to be able to deliver and implement a deliverable viable scheme.
12. We therefore conclude that there is a risk that a Scheme with 35% affordable housing (70:30) is not deliverable in viability terms. The Scheme should be amended to allow it to be policy compliant and deliverable.